

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/618,284	Applicant(s) BROOKES ET AL.	
	Examiner SAIF A. ALHIJA	Art Unit 2128	

All Participants:

(1) SAIF A. ALHIJA.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: Reponse to Non-Final

(3) J. Matthew Zigmant, 44005.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2009

Time: 2:15pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102

Claims discussed:

44

Prior art documents discussed:

Kim Ghosh

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Applicants representative to resolve the issues pending for claim 44. The Examiner informed Applicants representative that claim 44 was too broad and did not encompass aspects of the other independent claims which would resolve the prior art rejection presented. More specifically the Examiner suggested that the limitations reciting a variable synchronization parameter which were not present in the prior art of record would be beneficial in resolving the prior art rejection. Applicants representative agreed to incorporate the elements of the variable synchronization parameter and approved an Examiners amendment to that effect. See attached Notice of Allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)